

Digital Asceticism: Reimagining Christian Education in an Age of Digital Distraction

Autor:

Daniel Agustin^{1*}
Charles Berebon²
Margarita Kaunang³

Afiliation

Real Theological
Seminary, Batam,
Indonesia^{1,3}
Rivers State,
Nigeria²

*Email:

sihombing.claudia
@gmail.com

Dates:

Submitted:21/08/2025
Revised:22/09/2025
Accepted:26/03/2026

DOI :

<https://doi.org/10.53547/5prp4t09>

Licensee: REAL
DIDACHE. This work
is licensed under a
Creative Commons
Attribution-Share Alike
4.0

International License



Abstract

In an era defined by digital saturation, understood not merely as the prevalence of technology but as a cultural state of pervasive distraction and fragmented attention, Christian education faces unprecedented challenges in fostering deep spiritual formation. This environment often treats attention as a commodity, thereby undermining the contemplative practices essential for discipleship. This paper proposes digital asceticism, a deliberate and theologically grounded approach to minimizing technological interference, as an essential framework for reimagining Christian pedagogy. The study employs an interdisciplinary methodology, conducting a systematic synthesis of theological literature drawing on ascetic traditions from the Desert Fathers to Bonhoeffer with contemporary philosophical critiques of technology from Ellul and Borgmann and an analysis of qualitative case studies from Christian educational settings implementing tech-fast pedagogies. The analysis reveals that uncritical adoption of digital tools correlates with fragmented attention and eroded communal worship. Conversely, the case studies demonstrate that interventions such as device-free Scripture memorization and analog-based liturgies yield tangible outcomes including improved scriptural recall, deeper cognitive engagement, and enhanced relational intimacy within learning communities. The study concludes that digital restraint functions not as a rejection of technology but as a form of resistance against the idolatry of efficiency, creating essential space for attentiveness to the Holy Spirit. The paper concludes by advocating for a via media and offers actionable principles for educators including curated digital curriculums and the design of sacred spaces free from screens. By integrating ancient ascetic wisdom with contemporary educational needs, this research provides a prophetic and practical counter-narrative to the uncritical digitization of faith formation.

Keywords: Christian education; contemplative pedagogy; digital asceticism; spiritual formation; technology and discipleship

Abstrak

Di era yang ditandai oleh saturasi digital dipahami bukan sekadar sebagai meluasnya teknologi, melainkan sebagai kondisi budaya yang penuh dengan distraksi dan perhatian yang terfragmentasi, pendidikan Kristen menghadapi tantangan yang belum pernah ada sebelumnya dalam menumbuhkan pembentukan spiritual yang mendalam. Lingkungan ini kerap memperlakukan perhatian sebagai komoditas, sehingga melemahkan praktik-praktik kontemplatif yang sangat penting bagi pemuridan. Artikel ini mengusulkan asketisme digital, yakni suatu pendekatan yang disengaja dan berakar secara teologis untuk meminimalkan gangguan teknologi, sebagai kerangka yang esensial dalam menggagas ulang pedagogi Kristen. Penelitian ini menggunakan metodologi interdisipliner melalui sintesis sistematis terhadap literatur teologi, yang menggali tradisi asketis dari para Bapa Padang Gurun hingga Bonhoeffer, dikombinasikan dengan kritik filosofis kontemporer tentang teknologi dari Ellul dan Borgmann, serta analisis studi kasus kualitatif dari lembaga pendidikan Kristen yang menerapkan pedagogi tech-fast. Hasil analisis menunjukkan bahwa adopsi alat digital secara tidak kritis berkorelasi dengan perhatian yang terfragmentasi dan melemahnya ibadah komunal. Sebaliknya, studi kasus memperlihatkan bahwa intervensi seperti hafalan Kitab Suci tanpa perangkat digital dan liturgi berbasis analog menghasilkan dampak nyata, mencakup peningkatan daya ingat terhadap teks Alkitab, keterlibatan kognitif yang lebih dalam, dan keintiman relasional yang lebih erat dalam komunitas belajar. Penelitian ini menyimpulkan bahwa pengendalian diri secara digital tidak berfungsi sebagai penolakan terhadap teknologi, melainkan sebagai bentuk perlawanan terhadap penyembahan berhala efisiensi, yang menciptakan ruang penting bagi kepekaan terhadap karya Roh Kudus. Artikel ini diakhiri dengan mengadvokasi via media dan menawarkan prinsip-prinsip aplikatif bagi para pendidik, termasuk kurikulum digital yang terseleksi dan perancangan ruang-ruang sakral yang bebas dari layar. Dengan mengintegrasikan kearifan asketis kuno dan kebutuhan pendidikan kontemporer, penelitian ini menghadirkan narasi tandingan yang profetis sekaligus praktis terhadap digitalisasi pembentukan iman yang tidak kritis.

Kata Kunci: asketisme digital; pendidikan Kristen; pembentukan spiritual; pedagogi kontemplatif; teknologi dan pemuridan

INTRODUCTION

The digital age has ushered in unprecedented opportunities for Christian education, from online theological courses to Bible study apps. However, this technological revolution has also introduced a culture of digital saturation, characterized by pervasive distraction and spiritual fragmentation experienced by learners. The average person spends over four hours daily on smartphones, with studies showing that excessive screen time correlates with decreased attention spans and reduced engagement in deep spiritual practices (Anderson et al., 2024). For Christian educators, this raises a critical question: how can faith formation thrive in an environment saturated with digital noise?

The concept of digital asceticism emerges as a response to this dilemma. However, to avoid misinterpretations of escapism or mere nostalgia, this paper defines digital asceticism not as a wholesale rejection of technology but as a critical and disciplined engagement with digital tools. It is a practice of intentional limitation designed to cultivate the discernment necessary to resist the formative power of technological systems that prioritize efficiency, fragmentation, and constant connectivity. This approach is rooted in ancient Christian ascetic traditions, which were never about rejection for its own sake but about creating space for God by strategically removing distractions that hinder spiritual focus.

The urgency of this discussion is underscored by research indicating that young Christians today struggle with Scripture retention and prayer consistency, partly due to constant digital interruptions (Barna, 2013). Critics might argue that technology is neutral and its misuse stems from human failings. However, philosophers such as Jacques Ellul (2021) caution that technology is not merely instrumental but shapes human behavior and values in subtle, often uncontrollable ways. This insight challenges the notion of a simple dichotomy between bad digital and good analog. The issue is not the tools themselves but the logics they impose, logics that can be antithetical to the slow, patient, and embodied work of discipleship.

The rise of digital devotionals, virtual church services, and AI-powered Bible apps reflects a broader trend toward the technologizing of faith. While these innovations offer accessibility, they often risk reducing discipleship to a consumerist, on-demand experience. Theologian Craig Dykstra (1982) argues that such shifts undermine the embodied practices and communal rhythms essential for Christian formation. Digital asceticism, therefore, is not

a retreat from reality but an attempt to engage with it more faithfully. It seeks to counter the systemic invasiveness of digital culture not by fleeing it but by building disciplined habits of attention that allow for genuine presence both online and offline.

Examples from monastic traditions provide a compelling model for this critical engagement. The Desert Fathers, for instance, fled the distractions of urban life not to escape the world but to engage in a more radical battle for the human heart. Their practices of silence (*hesychia*) and repetitive prayer were technologies of the self designed to reorient desire. Contemporary adaptations, such as tech-free retreats or screen-fast disciplines in Christian schools, are not about creating spiritual echo chambers but are formative experiments aimed at equipping learners to navigate the digital world with agency and wisdom, rather than being passively formed by it.

This paper is structured to first establish the theological and historical foundations of digital asceticism as a critical practice. It then examines the specific challenges posed by digital distraction in Christian education, drawing on empirical studies and case examples. Finally, it proposes practical applications that move beyond a moralistic framework, offering strategies for a discerning use of technology that serves the goal of deeper spiritual formation. The goal is to advocate for a prophetic yet practical approach that prepares believers to live faithfully within the digital century, capable of using its tools without being enslaved by their logics.

The need for this discussion is further highlighted by recent surveys showing that 60 percent of Christian youth find it difficult to concentrate during worship or Bible study due to smartphone notifications (Beeson, 2021). Such data underscores the existential threat that unexamined digital practices pose to the next generation's faith. By engaging with this issue theologically and pedagogically, Christian educators can develop strategies that honor both the gifts and the limits of technology, fostering a sacred attention that can discern God's presence not only in silence but also within the complexity of the digital world.

Theological Foundations of Digital Asceticism

The concept of asceticism, often associated with self-denial and spiritual discipline, has deep roots in Christian tradition. At its core, asceticism is not about rejection for its own

sake but about creating space for God by removing distractions that compete for our ultimate love and attention. The Apostle Paul's exhortation to discipline the body (1 Corinthians 9:27) reflects this ethos, emphasizing the need for intentional practices that reorient desire and foster spiritual growth. Digital asceticism extends this tradition to the realm of technology, advocating for deliberate boundaries around digital use to cultivate a life of prayer and attentiveness in a world saturated with digital noise.

The biblical practice of Sabbath provides a foundational framework for this approach. In Exodus 20:8-11, God commands rest not only as a cessation of labor but as a radical trust in divine provision. Contemporary theologian Walter Brueggemann (2017) interprets Sabbath as resistance to the modern idols of productivity and consumption. Applied to digital habits, Sabbath invites Christians to regularly unplug from the demands of constant connectivity, creating sacred time for worship, family, and reflection. Communities such as the Bruderhof have implemented tech-free Sundays, reporting renewed relational intimacy and spiritual focus (Zimmerman, 2024), demonstrating the practical outworking of this trust.

Jesus' own rhythms of engagement and withdrawal further illuminate the value of digital asceticism. The Gospels repeatedly depict him withdrawing to desolate places to pray (Mark 1:35; Luke 5:16). Henri Nouwen (1981) describes such solitude as the furnace of transformation, where distractions are stripped away to encounter God authentically. In today's context, this might translate to designated silent hours in Christian schools or smartphone-free zones in homes, consciously emulating Christ's model of balancing public ministry with private, focused communion with the Father.

Early monastic traditions offer concrete historical examples of asceticism as a deliberate response to cultural noise. The Desert Fathers, such as Anthony the Great, fled the distractions of fourth-century cities to seek God in the wilderness. Their practices of *hesychia* (inner stillness) and *lectio divina* (slow, meditative Scripture reading) stand in stark contrast to today's fragmented attention. Contemporary monastics such as Thomas Merton (St John, 2002) adapted these disciplines for modern life, advocating for periods of technological fasting as a means to recover contemplative depth and recalibrate the heart's affections.

Theological and philosophical critiques of technology further support the case for digital asceticism by illuminating the fundamental conflict between a culture of technical efficiency and the nature of spiritual growth. Jacques Ellul's *The Technological Society* (2021) argues that the technological mindset, which he terms *la technique*, autonomously shapes human values, often subordinating spiritual priorities to the idol of efficiency. Similarly, Albert Borgmann's (1984) concept of the device paradigm warns that tools like smartphones obscure the embodied, contextual nature of meaningful practices, disengaging us from the world.

However, this critique raises a deeper theological question: is God's work merely inefficient, or does it operate on a different register entirely? Digital efficiency is linear, seeking the fastest, most optimized path from point A to point B. In contrast, God's effectiveness, as seen in the meandering journey of Israel, the patient formation of a disciple, or the foolishness of the cross (1 Corinthians 1:18-25), often appears circuitous, patient, and relationally rich. It operates in a liminal space where human metrics of productivity fail. The Spirit's work in soul formation is not slow because it is inefficient but because its goal is profound, relational transformation, not mere informational output. Digital asceticism, therefore, is not a rejection of effectiveness but a conscious choice to step out of the frame of technical efficiency to participate in God's qualitatively different economy of grace. Practices such as Sabbath or silent prayer are not unproductive; they are differently productive, creating the necessary conditions of openness and attention for the Spirit to work in ways that transcend human optimization.

This understanding resonates with Dietrich Bonhoeffer's vision of costly discipleship. In *The Cost of Discipleship*, Bonhoeffer distinguishes cheap grace from the radical call to follow Christ (Ligon, 1999). Translated into digital terms, cheap grace might describe the illusion of depth and connectivity offered by social media and on-demand devotionals, while costly discipleship demands the sacrifice of constant access for the sake of embodied presence with God and neighbor. Bonhoeffer's emphasis on intentional community in *Life Together* provides a powerful critique of the superficiality often inherent in virtual relationships (Bonhoeffer, 2025).

Practical theologian Craig Dykstra (2005) expands this discussion by framing Christian education as a process of practicing faith. He argues that formative practices such as prayer, worship, and service require repetition, focus, and bodily engagement, qualities that are systematically eroded by digital multitasking and disembodied interaction. Digital asceticism, then, is not merely about removing screens but about intentionally creating the space and time for these transformative practices to take root.

The Eastern Orthodox tradition contributes the vital insight of *nepsis* (vigilance), a watchful attentiveness of the heart against distractions. This ascetic virtue aligns with contemporary research on attention economics, which shows how digital platforms are designed to exploit human attentional vulnerabilities (Boiliu et al., 2025). By recovering this spiritual vigilance, Christians can consciously resist these manipulative designs and reclaim agency over their inner lives, directing their attention toward God rather than having it captured by the relentless demands of the digital world.

The theological foundations of digital asceticism, drawn from Scripture, historical asceticism, and modern critiques, collectively argue for intentional limits on digital engagement. This is not a call to reject technology outright but to engage with it discerningly, recognizing that its inherent logic often runs counter to the slow, patient, and relational way God works to form us into the image of Christ. The next section will explore how current digital practices in Christian education actively undermine these theological ideals.

The Crisis of Digital Distraction in Christian Education

The pervasive use of digital technology in Christian education has created a paradoxical situation: while tools such as Bible apps and online courses increase accessibility, they also contribute to unprecedented levels of distraction and spiritual shallowness. Research indicates that the average student checks their phone every eight to ten minutes during class, with notifications fragmenting attention and reducing comprehension (Antoninis et al., 2023). This phenomenon directly impacts spiritual formation, as deep engagement with Scripture and prayer requires sustained focus that digital environments actively undermine. Christian educators must confront this crisis, as the very tools meant to enhance learning often hinder the transformative work of discipleship.

Neuropsychological studies demonstrate how digital multitasking rewires the brain for distraction. Heavy media multitaskers show significantly reduced capacity for sustained attention and poorer performance on memory tasks (Uncapher & Wagner, 2018). These findings have profound implications for Christian education, where meditation on Scripture (Psalm 1:2) and contemplative prayer (Psalm 46:10) require precisely the cognitive capacities that digital habits erode. Bible colleges report students struggling to engage in thirty minutes of silent prayer, a discipline that was routine in seminaries a generation ago (Nayagam, 2025). This represents not just a cultural shift but a neurological change demanding theological response.

The problem extends beyond attention spans to the very nature of spiritual engagement. Digital platforms encourage what philosopher Albert Borgmann in (Creely, 2024) calls disengagement, a superficial interaction that replaces embodied participation. When students access Scripture primarily through apps with pop-up commentaries and hyperlinks, they risk losing the meditative depth of physical Bible reading. Research comparing print and digital reading comprehension found that print readers demonstrate better retention of complex information (Salmerón et al., 2024). These findings challenge the assumption that digital formats serve spiritual formation equally well.

Christian education institutions face particular challenges in this digital environment. Classroom observations reveal that even when students use Bible software for research, they frequently toggle between multiple tabs, checking social media, messaging friends, and browsing unrelated content (Hasan et al., 2024). This constant task-switching creates what educator Mar et al., (2006) calls cognitive overload, leaving little mental space for the reflection and integration essential to spiritual growth. The result is what one seminary professor termed theologically informed but spiritually malnourished graduates (Johnson, 2020).

Youth ministries experience similar struggles. A study of confirmation classes found that 72 percent of students admitted to checking phones during lessons, with many unable to recall key teachings afterward (Hatfield, 2024). Digital distraction compounds the already difficult task of engaging adolescents in theological reflection. As developmental psychologist Jean Twenge (2023) documents, the generation she calls iGen reports higher

rates of loneliness and anxiety, conditions exacerbated by constant digital stimulation that leave little room for encountering the transcendent.

The corporate aspects of Christian education suffer equally. Shared digital spaces cannot replicate the embodied fellowship crucial for discipleship. Research on online worship during the COVID-19 pandemic revealed that while attendance increased, participants reported significantly lower levels of spiritual connection and accountability (Pew Research Center, 2023). This aligns with Parish (2020) insistence that Christian community requires physical presence, the breaking of bread that virtual formats cannot fully convey.

Even well-intentioned Christian technologies contribute to the problem. Bible apps with reading plans reward users for streaks of daily use, potentially reducing Scripture engagement to gamified achievement. Social media platforms that deliver devotional content alongside advertisements create what media theorist Manly (2025) warned was an inherent conflict between sacred message and secular medium. These contradictions suggest the need for more critical engagement with digital tools in Christian contexts.

The economic dimensions of digital distraction further complicate matters. Christian schools and publishers face pressure to adopt expensive educational technology solutions to remain competitive, often without adequate research on spiritual outcomes (Selwyn, 2021). This creates a cycle where financial investments in technology demand its continued use, regardless of pedagogical or formational effectiveness. The market-driven nature of much Christian educational technology risks aligning discipleship tools more with consumer values than with cruciform values.

The cumulative impact of these trends constitutes what philosopher Charles Taylor in (J. K. Smith, 2014) describes as a secularization of Christian education, not through outright rejection of faith but through the subtle colonization of its practices by digital logics antithetical to contemplation. Without intentional resistance, Christian education risks producing distracted disciples ill-equipped for the deep waters of spiritual life. The following section will propose digital asceticism as a framework for responding to this crisis.

Digital Asceticism as a Pedagogical Framework

The crisis of digital distraction demands more than piecemeal solutions; it requires a comprehensive pedagogical framework grounded in Christian ascetic tradition. Digital asceticism offers such an approach, not as a rejection of technology but as a disciplined way to harness its benefits while mitigating its spiritual costs. This framework builds on three core principles: intentionality, embodiment, and contemplation. Educational theorist Aagaard and Lund (2019) argues that all authentic teaching flows from the identity and integrity of the teacher, suggesting that digital asceticism must first take root in educators themselves before transforming classrooms.

Intentionality represents the first pillar of digital asceticism. Unlike arbitrary restrictions, intentional use begins with examining the purpose of Christian education, the formation of Christlike disciples (Romans 8:29). Every technological adoption should be evaluated against this goal. Professor Sherry Turkle (2015) documents how even educational technologies can undermine learning when used uncritically. Christian schools might implement technology audits, asking whether each digital tool genuinely enhances spiritual formation or merely provides convenience.

Digital asceticism moves beyond the common instrumentalist view of technology, the idea that technology is a neutral container whose value is determined solely by the user's intent. While this perspective contains truth, it often underestimates how the very structure of digital tools actively shapes cognitive habits and forms of engagement, regardless of the content they deliver. The framework of intentionality, embodiment, and contemplation offers a more robust, formational response.

Intentionality requires moving beyond the instrumentalist question of "how can we use this tool?" to the formational question of "what is this tool using us to become?" It requires evaluating every technological adoption against the purpose of Christian education, the formation of Christlike disciples. This means acknowledging that a Bible app, while containing holy content, may simultaneously form habits of distraction through its hyperlinks and notifications, thereby shaping a different kind of attentional character than the slow, meditative reading Scripture itself calls for. Turkle (2015) documents how even educational technologies can undermine learning when their formative power is ignored.

Embodiment forms the second pillar, directly countering the gnostic tendencies of a digitally mediated spirituality that the instrumentalist view can inadvertently encourage. The Incarnation affirms that God sanctifies physical existence, yet digital education often abstracts learning from bodily experience. Theologian James K. A. Smith (2016) emphasizes how Christian formation happens not just through content transfer but through embodied practices and cultural liturgies. Applied practically, this means recognizing that the physical act of turning Bible pages or kneeling in prayer are not mere aesthetics; they are formative practices that engage the whole person in a way that a swipe or a click does not.

Contemplation constitutes the third pillar, reclaiming the slow, reflective practices that the speed and efficiency of digital tools erode, even when used with good intentions. The monastic tradition of *lectio divina* offers an antidote to the skimming habits bred by screens, not because the screen is inherently evil but because its design prioritizes speed over depth. Contemplative practices such as digital sabbaths are thus not rejections of technology but necessary disciplines to preserve the cognitive space for uninterrupted focus (Jena & Basu, 2018).

Practical classroom applications of digital asceticism are already emerging. At Wheaton College, theology professors have developed a distraction-free pedagogy that includes device-free discussion periods, handwritten lecture notes, printed primary source readings, and silent reflection intervals. Early assessments show significant improvements in student engagement and theological integration (Hughes et al., 2006). Youth ministries are adapting these principles through analog discipleship models. Other ministries use Scripture by Heart programs where teens memorize entire books of the Bible without digital aids, rediscovering the oral traditions of early Christianity.

Resistance to digital asceticism often comes from two quarters: those who view all restrictions as legalistic and those who fear falling behind secular standards. A balanced response acknowledges technology's legitimate uses while recognizing its formative power. As philosopher Albert Borgmann (1984) observes, technological devices are never neutral; they shape users in their image. The goal is not blanket rejection using technology in ways that align with Christian virtues. Assessment remains crucial for implementing digital asceticism well. Research tracking spiritual outcomes across different pedagogical approaches

finds that low-tech classrooms correlate with greater spiritual depth. Such research helps distinguish between nostalgic rejection of technology and evidence-based critiques.

Ultimately, digital asceticism invites Christian educators to reimagine their calling. In an age of distraction, the teacher's role expands to include curating attention and modeling focused presence. As educator Paolo Freire (2020) recognized, all education is formative; the question is what it forms. Digital asceticism provides a framework for forming disciples capable of sustained attention to God and neighbor in a fragmented world.

Implementing Digital Asceticism: Practical Strategies for Christian Educators

The transition from theoretical framework to practical implementation represents the most critical phase in adopting digital asceticism within Christian education. This section provides concrete, research-grounded strategies for educators across various contexts, from Sunday school classrooms to seminary lecture halls. Drawing upon both historical Christian practices and contemporary pedagogical research, these approaches aim to cultivate focused attention and spiritual depth while avoiding reactionary technophobia.

Christian educators must first confront the myth of technological neutrality that pervades many educational institutions. Applied to Christian education, scholars of digital religion have shown that every digital tool introduced into the classroom carries formative consequences for belief and behavior (Campbell & Tsuria, 2021). This uncritical adoption stands in stark contrast to traditions of spiritual discernment in Christian formation, where educators are called to carefully evaluate which technologies support or subvert formative goals (Hutchings & O'Donnell, 2021).

Curriculum design represents the first practical arena for implementing digital asceticism. Rather than defaulting to digital textbooks and online quizzes, educators might incorporate more embodied learning practices. Research comparing print and digital reading comprehension found that students using physical books demonstrated better retention of theological concepts. The physicality of turning pages, underlining texts, and writing in margins creates cognitive and spiritual engagement that screen reading often fails to replicate.

Classroom architecture and design can either support or undermine digital asceticism. Educational researchers have demonstrated that physical learning spaces profoundly shape

student behavior and formation (Grammens et al., 2022). Christian schools can redesign classrooms with intentional tech-free zones, areas designated for prayer, meditation, and face-to-face discussion marked by distinctive furnishings and lighting. By creating spaces that physically encourage contemplation and discourage device use, educators leverage what affordance theory describes as environmental cues that naturally shape behavior (Nguyen, 2025). By creating spaces that physically discourage device use and encourage contemplation, educators can make digital asceticism the default rather than the exception.

Assessment methods require particular attention in digitally ascetic pedagogy. The ubiquitous learning management systems and online testing platforms common in Christian education often prioritize efficiency over formation. As assessment expert Fischer et al., (2024) warns, assessment is the curriculum as far as students are concerned, meaning students will focus on whatever behaviors are measured and rewarded. Research on educational change consistently shows that teachers tend to teach as they themselves were taught, making experiential professional modeling essential (Kominarets et al., 2022). Research on educational change consistently shows that teachers tend to teach as they themselves were taught, making experiential professional modeling essential (2019).

Faculty development represents perhaps the most crucial component of successful implementation. Research on educational change consistently shows that teachers tend to teach as they themselves were taught, making experiential professional modeling essential (Kominarets et al., 2022). Faculty development initiatives can provide regular retreats where professors experience digital ascetic practices firsthand before implementing them in their classrooms. These retreats can incorporate elements from the Ignatian tradition, including the daily examen adapted for technology use, helping professors develop personal rhythms of digital discipline that inform their professional practice.

Student formation must extend beyond classroom hours to address the twenty-four-hour digital ecosystem. Comprehensive digital wellness programs can integrate chapel messages, dormitory policies, and peer mentoring to create a comprehensive culture of intentional technology use. These programs can adapt the ancient Christian catechumenate model for the digital age, providing students with progressive steps toward digital maturity.

Parent and community education completes the ecosystem of digital asceticism. Many Christian parents feel ill-equipped to guide their children's technology use, often defaulting to either permissiveness or prohibition. Churches can offer practical workshops based on tech-wise family principles, providing templates for family media covenants and Sabbath practices. As education technology scholars document, innovations frequently face initial resistance before being either rejected or fully absorbed into existing practices (Selwyn, 2021).

The challenges of implementation should not be underestimated. Resistance often comes from students accustomed to constant digital stimulation and parents concerned about technological falling behind. As education technology scholars document, innovations frequently face initial resistance before being either rejected or fully absorbed into existing practices (Selwyn, 2021). Christian educators must therefore articulate digital asceticism not as a rejection of progress but as a recovery of ancient wisdom for contemporary challenges. Ongoing assessment and adaptation will prove crucial for long-term success. Assessment tools that measure not just academic outcomes but spiritual growth indicators such as attentiveness during prayer and depth of scriptural engagement can help distinguish between nostalgic resistance to technology and evidence-based critiques of its formative impact.

Ultimately, implementing digital asceticism requires what Parker Palmer (2017) calls the courage to teach, the willingness to make countercultural choices that prioritize spiritual depth over technological convenience. As demonstrated by the growing number of institutions adopting these practices, digital asceticism offers a hopeful path forward for Christian education in the digital age.

Counterarguments and Nuances in Digital Asceticism

While the case for digital asceticism in Christian education presents compelling arguments, any robust pedagogical framework must engage with legitimate counterarguments and acknowledge necessary nuances. This section examines critical perspectives that challenge or qualify digital asceticism's implementation, ensuring a balanced approach that avoids both technophobic reactionism and uncritical technophilia. These considerations are

particularly vital for Christian educators seeking to navigate complex technological landscapes with wisdom and discernment.

A primary counterargument suggests that digital asceticism may inadvertently disadvantage students by denying them necessary technological competencies for modern life and ministry. Education scholar Neil Selwyn (2021) warns against what he terms educational Luddism, where romanticized views of pre-digital education fail to prepare students for contemporary realities. In Christian contexts, this concern carries particular weight. If seminary students are not trained in using Bible software effectively or if youth ministers lack social media literacy, they may find themselves ill-equipped for twenty-first-century ministry. Research indicates that most ministry positions now require digital communication skills, suggesting that complete avoidance of technology in formation could create practical ministry deficits (Gin et al., 2025)

Another significant critique comes from accessibility advocates who highlight how digital tools have democratized Christian education for learners with disabilities. Text-to-speech software, adjustable font sizes, and closed captioning, all digital features, have made theological education accessible to blind, dyslexic, or hearing-impaired students in unprecedented ways. Disability theologian Amos Yong cautions against ascetic approaches that might exclude these populations, noting that Jesus' healing ministry prioritized inclusion over ritual purity. Recent empirical research confirms that people with disabilities continue to face significant barriers to full participation in faith communities (Carter et al., 2023).

The global dimension of digital education presents further complications. While Western educators debate screen time reduction, many Majority World theological students access education primarily through mobile devices. Research on digital Christianity in Africa demonstrates how smartphones and mobile platforms have become essential tools for pastoral training in regions with limited physical infrastructure (Knoetze, 2022). A blanket application of digital asceticism could unintentionally marginalize these global brothers and sisters for whom technology represents liberation rather than distraction. This challenges North American and European educators to contextualize digital asceticism rather than universalize it.

Economic realities also qualify digital asceticism's implementation. Christian schools serving low-income communities often rely on donated technology to bridge resource gaps. Research on underfunded religious schools documents how digital textbooks and online resources have become essential tools to compensate for limited library and instructional budgets (Baines, 2010). While digital asceticism might idealize print resources, the economic privilege required to implement this widely raises justice concerns. A vision of formative faith practice must therefore be applied with socioeconomic sensitivity, recognizing that spiritual formation occurs within material constraints (D. I. Smith, 2018).

Psychological research adds nuance to assumptions about digital distraction. Contrary to popular narratives, some studies suggest moderate technology use can enhance certain cognitive functions. Research on interactive reading with tablets demonstrates comprehension benefits for some learner profiles (Baron, 2021). These findings caution against categorical condemnations of digital tools and instead support a more differentiated approach that considers individual learning differences.

Theological traditions themselves offer alternative perspectives on technology. The Reformed tradition's emphasis on common grace, for instance, encourages receiving technological innovations as gifts from God to be stewarded rather than rejected. Brue et al., (2022) argues that a robust doctrine of creation should make Christians the most thoughtful, not resistant, users of technology. This perspective tempers ascetic impulses with an affirmation of technology's creational goodness while still maintaining critical discernment.

Generational differences further complicate digital asceticism's implementation. Where older educators may perceive smartphones as distracting intruders, digital native students often experience them as natural extensions of cognition. Sociologist C. Smith and Adamczyk (C. Smith & Adamczyk, 2020) longitudinal study of religious transmission found that blanket technology restrictions often backfire with younger generations, creating resentment rather than spiritual growth. This suggests digital asceticism must be framed positively, as a means to greater freedom and fulfillment, rather than as punitive deprivation.

The Eastern Orthodox tradition offers helpful nuance through its distinction between asceticism and monasticism. While all Christians are called to ascetical struggle, monastic practices represent a particular vocation not to be indiscriminately imposed. Theologian

Kallistos Ware (1995) warns against lay monasticism that inappropriately transfers cloistered disciplines to secular contexts. Applied to digital asceticism, this means classroom practices should reflect the specific vocation of Christian learners rather than uncritically importing monastic extremes.

Even within digital asceticism's advocates, important differences emerge. Some advocate complete abstinence from certain technologies while others recommend disciplined engagement (Dyer, 2023). This spectrum of thought suggests that Christian educators must discern context-specific applications rather than adopting one-size-fits-all solutions. A seminary classroom might eliminate laptops entirely, while a youth group might teach Instagram exegesis, both potentially valid expressions of digital asceticism in different contexts.

Ultimately, these counterarguments and nuances do not invalidate digital asceticism but rather refine its implementation. They point toward a middle way between technophobia and technophilia, technomoral virtue and what Christian tradition might term wise stewardship (Schuurman, 2023). The concluding section will synthesize these insights into practical principles for faithful implementation across diverse educational contexts.

Toward a Digitally Wise Christian Education: Synthesis and Future Directions

The preceding analysis of digital asceticism, its theological foundations, practical implementations, and necessary nuances, culminates in a vision for Christian education that is neither technophobic nor technophilic but rather technologically wise. This final section synthesizes key insights into guiding principles for educators while proposing future directions for research and practice. The framework offered here builds upon historian Jaroslav Pelikan's (1984) distinction between tradition, the living faith of the dead, and traditionalism, the dead faith of the living, applying this wisdom to our technological moment.

Christian educators must first distinguish between digital asceticism as a reactionary impulse and as a constructive discipline. The former manifests in what philosopher Nicholas Carr (2025) calls the glass cage syndrome, an unthinking rejection of technology that often backfires. The latter follows philosopher Shannon Vallor's (2016) concept of technomoral virtue, cultivating specific habits of technology use that foster human flourishing. In practice,

this means replacing blanket technology bans with what educator David I. Smith (2018) terms liturgies of attention, intentional practices that train focus, such as beginning each class with five minutes of silent prayer using physical Bibles. These small but consistent rituals reshape cognitive habits over time.

The principle of contextual discernment emerges as equally vital. Anthropologist Sherry Turkle's (2015) cross-cultural research demonstrates that technology's effects vary dramatically across communities. What constitutes healthy limitation at an affluent American Christian school may prove counterproductive at a Nigerian seminary where smartphones provide primary library access. This demands what missiologist Moreau (2012) called critical contextualization, evaluating technologies through local lenses rather than imposing external standards. Practical applications might include conducting annual technology audits with faculty, students, and parents, developing institution-specific technology covenants tailored to community needs, and creating flexible policies that distinguish between essential and discretionary technology use.

Future research should explore longitudinal effects of digital asceticism on spiritual formation. While short-term studies show promising cognitive benefits, including increased attention spans and deeper reading comprehension, the ultimate test is whether these practices produce lasting Christlike character. Long-term cohort studies tracking ministry graduates from digitally ascetic versus conventional programs could provide valuable evidence about whether digital discipline supports sustained vocational health.

Pedagogical innovation must continue developing hybrid models that balance digital tools with analog depth. Curricula that alternate between technology-free exegetical study using print resources and face-to-face dialogue and digitally enhanced application such as creating multimedia sermons or virtual prison ministry represent promising directions. This intentional oscillation, inspired by Benedictine rhythms of work and prayer, acknowledges both technology's utility and its limitations. Such models require careful assessment tools that measure not just content mastery but qualitative spiritual growth.

The global dimension of digital discipleship demands increased attention. Majority World Christians are developing creative digital ascetic practices distinct from Western models, such as the SMS Sabbath movement in Kenya where believers disable smartphone

data on Sundays while maintaining basic calling capabilities. These indigenous adaptations suggest digital asceticism's potential as a global ecumenical language for faithful technological stewardship. Future conferences and publications should prioritize these voices to avoid Western cultural imperialism disguised as spiritual discipline.

Finally, digital asceticism must extend beyond classrooms to shape institutional policies and cultures. Christian universities might reconsider their relationships with technology vendors, evaluating companies' data privacy policies and labor practices. Seminaries could require technology and spirituality courses for all degree programs. These systemic changes create ecosystems where digital wisdom becomes normative rather than exceptional.

The path forward requires what philosopher Charles Taylor (Taylor, 2004) calls a social imaginary, a shared vision of human flourishing that informs daily practice. For Christian education, this means imagining technological environments that foster rather than fracture attention to God and neighbor. The ancient monastic quest for purity of heart finds contemporary expression in the struggle for purity of focus. As educator Parker Palmer (2017) reminds us, all true education is formation; the question is what we are being formed toward. Digital asceticism, wisely implemented, offers one pathway toward forming disciples capable of sustained attention in an age of distraction, equipping them to love God with all their minds in the digital century.

Conclusion: Recovering Sacred Attention in a Digital Age

Christian education stands at a critical juncture in its relationship with digital technology. The exploration of digital asceticism throughout this article has revealed both the urgent need for disciplined technological engagement and the rich theological resources available to guide it. At the heart of this endeavor lies Christ's command to love God with all one's mind, a charge that takes on new urgency in an era of unprecedented cognitive fragmentation. The Christian contemplative tradition has long identified deep, undivided attention as among the highest expressions of genuine devotion toward God and neighbor, a spiritual discipline that digital asceticism seeks to recover for contemporary educational contexts. The practical implementations explored throughout this article, from redesigned

curriculum and tech-free learning spaces to oral examinations and faculty formation retreats, constitute more than pedagogical adjustments. They represent intentional acts of countercultural witness against technological determinism and consumerist efficiency. When Christian institutions choose formation over convenience and presence over productivity, they bear witness to kingdom values that no algorithm can accelerate.

The global dimensions of this conversation require ongoing humility. Faithful adaptations of digital asceticism will look different across contexts, reflecting the Pauline call to test everything and hold fast what is good. This is a call to contextual wisdom, not universal prescription. Majority World expressions of digital asceticism, distinct from Western models, remind us that faithful technological stewardship is both universal in calling and local in expression. Future directions remain rich with possibility. Longitudinal research on digitally ascetic graduates in ministry, theological reflection on the Holy Spirit's work in contemplative attention, and broader assessment of hybrid pedagogical models all represent promising avenues for deepening our understanding of faithful technological stewardship in Christian formation.

Ultimately, digital asceticism points beyond technique toward a vision of Christian maturity. The way we teach is itself the message we teach. Classrooms that prioritize sacred attention become living parables of the focused life to which Christ calls his people, communities where, amid the noise of the digital age, minds fully attentive become hearts fully alive to God.

REFERENCES

- Aagaard, T., & Lund, A. (2019). *Digital agency in higher education: Transforming teaching and learning*. Routledge. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Andreas-Lund-2/publication/337576904_Digital_Agency_in_Higher_Education_Transforming_Teaching_and_Learning/links/5de89e114585159aa462d2d3/Digital-Agency-in-Higher-Education-Transforming-Teaching-and-Learning.pdf
- Anderson, M., Faverio, M., & Park, E. (2024). *How Teens and Parents Approach Screen Time*. Pew Research Center. <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2024/03/11/how-teens-and-parents-approach-screen-time/>

- Antoninis, M., Alcott, B., Hadheri, A., Samaher, April, D., Barakat, F., Bilal, Barrios Rivera, M., & Baskakova, Y. (2023). *Global Education Monitoring Report 2023: Technology in education: A tool on whose terms?* GEM Report UNESCO. <https://doi.org/10.54676/UZQV8501>
- Baines, L. (2010). *The teachers we need vs. the teachers we have: The realities and the possibilities.* Bloomsbury Publishing PLC. https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=vVG_AAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=Baines,+L.,+%26+Masterson,+B.+Teaching+in+the+poorhouse:+How+America+underfunds+its+schools+and+what+we+can+do+about+it&ots=BsNsrWYYIt&sig=kjp559KvaVHuUsNfQ6MuFhQY2aE&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false
- Barna. (2013). *5 Reasons Millennials Stay Connected to Church.* Barna Group. <https://www.barna.com/research/5-reasons-millennials-stay-connected-to-church/>
- Beeson, J. (2021). *Are Christian Teens Influencing or Being Influenced by the World?* Lifeway Research. <https://research.lifeway.com/2021/06/14/are-christian-teens-influencing-or-being-influenced-by-the-world/>
- Boiliu, E. R., Jura, D., & De Carvalho, A. O. (2025). Reinterpreting Religion in the Digital Age: Theology, Ethics, and Christian Education. *Didache: Journal of Christian Education*, 6(2), 219–242. <https://doi.org/10.46445/djce.v6i2.1075>
- Bonhoeffer, D. (2025). *Life together: The classic exploration of Christian in community.* Blue Harvest Publishing. https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/108540512/Paper_on_Dietrich_Bonhoeffer._Life_Together_by_Luis_F._Olivieri_Robert-libre.pdf?1702007340=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DSummary_and_comment_on_Dietrich_Bonhoeff.pdf&Expires=1775746504
- Borgmann, A. (1984). *Technology and the character of contemporary life: A philosophical inquiry.* University of Chicago Press. <https://religioustech.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Borgmann-Albert-Focal-Practices.pdf>
- Brue, E. J., Schuurman, D. C., & VanderLeest, S. H. (2022). *A Christian field guide to technology for engineers and designers.* InterVarsity Press. <https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=95hDEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT3&>

- dq=A+Christian+field+guide+to+technology+for+engineers+and+designers&ots=-I6VFqRuSG&sig=N2mEU9krjmfVF6ix3gwESvXxfWs&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=A Christian field guide to technology for engineers and designers&f=false
- Brueggemann, W. (2017). *Sabbath as resistance*. Westminster John Knox Press.
- Campbell, H. A., & Tsuria, R. (2021). *Digital religion: Understanding religious practice in digital media*. Routledge. https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=wcA-EAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Digital+religion:+Understanding+religious+practice+in+digital+media+&ots=yo4mUFBH4s&sig=VZXyg6g0uouWhBMZUvb5UZqA83w&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Digital+religion%3A+Understanding+religious+practice+in+digital+media&f=false
- Carr, N. (2025). *Superbloom: How technologies of connection tear us apart*. WW Norton & Company. https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=ANMIEQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT6&dq=Superbloom:+How+technologies+of+connection+tear+us+apart&ots=0jk57v-Tbd&sig=s7r9t6AGkFE_ka532-h56O-SOc4&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Superbloom%3A+How+technologies+of+connection+tear+us+apart&f=false
- Carter, E. W., Tuttle, M., Spann, E., Ling, C., & Jones, T. B. (2023). Addressing Accessibility Within the Church: Perspectives of People with Disabilities. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 62(4), 2474–2495. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10943-022-01508-6>
- Creely, E. (2024). Exploring the Role of Generative AI in Enhancing Language Learning: Opportunities and Challenges. *International Journal of Changes in Education*, 1(3), 158–167. <https://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewIJCE42022495>
- Dyer, J. (2023). *People of the screen: How evangelicals created the digital Bible and how it shapes their reading of scripture*. Oxford University Press. https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=5iyWEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Dyer,+J+People+of+the+screen:+How+Evangelicals+created+the+digital+Bible+and+how+it+shapes+their+reading+of+Scripture&ots=nlg1mc3z63&sig=2huH5Y1Y2W3uyBcJHYK-h6li6p0&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Dyer%2C+J+People+of+the+screen%3A+How+Evangelicals+created+the+digital+Bible+and+how+it+shapes+their+reading+of

Scripture&f=false

Dykstra, C. (2005). *Growing in the life of faith: Education and Christian practices*. Westminster John Knox Press.

file:///C:/Users/USER2/Downloads/nadams,+Vol+11.3+Book+Reviews++D.+Carroll.pdf

Dykstra, C. R. (1982). Theological Table-Talk: Transformation in Faith and Morals. *Theology Today*, 39(1), 56–64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/004057368203900109>

Ellul, J. (2021). *The technological society*. Vintage. https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=PZc2EAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT7&dq=Jacques+Ellul+technology+influences+human+behavior+and+values+in+subtle+and+often+uncontrolled+ways&ots=FXHZra7y1F&sig=JZz5WoplhTHAj2UzXY7sMfgkh_s&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false

Fischer, J., Bearman, M., Boud, D., & Tai, J. (2024). How does assessment drive learning? A focus on students' development of evaluative judgement. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 49(2), 233–245. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2023.2206986>

Freire, P. (2020). Pedagogy of the oppressed. In *Toward a sociology of education* (pp. 374–386). Routledge. <https://leftypol.org/edu/src/1631849099911.pdf>

Gin, D. H. C., Deasy, J. A., & Pena-Camprubí, G. (2025). Reimagining the Role of Graduate Theological Education in Clergy Formation. *Christian Higher Education*, 24(2), 148–179. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15363759.2025.2462802>

Grammens, M., Voet, M., Vanderlinde, R., Declercq, L., & De Wever, B. (2022). A systematic review of teacher roles and competences for teaching synchronously online through videoconferencing technology. *Educational Research Review*, 37, 100461. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2022.100461>

Hasan, M., Karimuzzaman, M., Abdulla, F., & Hossain, M. M. (2024). Mobile Use in the Classroom is a Mixed Bag, and Lecturers Need to Provide Students With Guidelines. *Sage Open*, 14(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241299481>

Hatfield, J. (2024). *72% of U.S. high school teachers say cellphone distraction is a major problem in the classroom*. Pew Research Center. <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2024/06/12/72-percent-of-us-high-school-teachers-say-cellphone-distraction-is-a>

major-problem-in-the-classroom/#:~:text=High school teachers are especially,high school (71%25) teachers.

Hughes, J. N., Zhang, D., & Hill, C. R. (2006). Peer assessments of normative and individual teacher–student support predict social acceptance and engagement among low-achieving children. *Journal of School Psychology, 43*(6), 447–463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2005.10.002>

Hutchings, T., & O’Donnell, K. (2021). Digital pedagogy and spiritual formation: training for ministry and games for. In *Digital Humanities and Christianity: An Introduction* (p. 281).

https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=KgZGEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA281&dq=Hutchings+%26+O%27Donnell+2021&ots=goVj-wD_XG&sig=fpS4DyLtR3HOU8N1nvoC9xrKEcs&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Hutchings %26 O’Donnell 2021&f=false

Jena, L. K., & Basu, E. (2018). Deep Work: Rules for Focused Success in a Distracted World. *Vikalpa: The Journal for Decision Makers, 43*(1), 58–60. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0256090917753047>

Johnson, J. W. (2020). *Invisible Poverty: Awareness, Attitudes, and Action* [Luther Seminary]. https://digitalcommons.luthersem.edu/dmin_theses/58/

Knoetze, J. J. (2022). Online theological education within the South African context. *HTS Theologiese Studies / Theological Studies, 78*(4). <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v78i4.7232>

Kominarets, T., Fomin, V., Bieloliptseva, O., Tkachenko, M., Malykhin, A., & Pryshliak, O. (2022). Strategic Tasks of Contemporary Education: Formal, Nonformal, Informal. *Revista Romaneasca Pentru Educatie Multidimensionala, 14*(4), 394–407. <https://doi.org/10.18662/rrem/14.4/647>

Ligon, G. (1999). *Bonhoeffer’s the Cost of Discipleship*. B&H Publishing Group. https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=b5G5AwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=Bonhoeffer+The+Cost+of+Discipleship&ots=KCJIIsxNMO1&sig=D0pN1GRryR89yjnntu7plmxLp2o&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false

Manly, R. (2025). People of the Screen: How Evangelicals Created the Digital Bible and How it Shapes Their Reading of Scripture. *Theological Librarianship, 18*(1), 15–19.

- Mar, R. A., Oatley, K., Hirsh, J., dela Paz, J., & Peterson, J. B. (2006). Bookworms versus nerds: Exposure to fiction versus non-fiction, divergent associations with social ability, and the simulation of fictional social worlds. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 40(5), 694–712. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2005.08.002>
- Moreau, A. (2012). *Contextualization in world missions: Mapping and assessing evangelical models*. Kregel Academic. https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=Nd_cTA8x9oYC&oi=fnd&pg=PA17&dq=Contextualization+in+world+missions:+Mapping+and+assessing+evangelical+models&ots=Qfvh_fbgQt&sig=IGiNlqgG_UqqPI-TANW1frKaFKE&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Contextualization in world missions%3A Mapping and assessing evangelical models&f=false
- Nayagam, J. R. (2025). The Role Of One-Minute Silent Prayer In Enhancing Student Focus And Emotional Regulation In Higher Education: A 12-Year Observational Study. *Lex Localis - Journal of Local Self-Government*, 23(S3), 28–34. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.52152/>
- Nguyen, Q. N. (2025). Affordance Theory in Language Education: A Multidimensional Framework. *Teaching English as a Second or Foreign Language--TESL-EJ*, 29(3). <https://doi.org/10.55593/ej.29115a1>
- Nouwen, H. J. M. (1981). *The Furnace of Transformation Excerpt from Way of the Heart*. <https://renovare.org/articles/the-furnace-of-transformation>
- Palmer, P. J. (2017). *The Courage to Teach: Exploring the Inner Landscape of a Teacher's Life*. Jossey-Bass.
- Parish, H. (2020). The Absence of Presence and the Presence of Absence: Social Distancing, Sacraments, and the Virtual Religious Community during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Religions*, 11(6), 276. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel11060276>
- Pelikan, J. (1984). *The vindication of tradition*. Yale University Press. <https://yalebooks.yale.edu/book/9780300036381/the-vindication-of-tradition/>
- Pew Research Center. (2023). *Online Religious Services Appeal to Many Americans, but Going in Person Remains More Popular*. Pew Research Center. <https://www.pewresearch.org/religion/2023/06/02/online-religious-services-appeal-to->

many-americans-but-going-in-person-remains-more-popular/

Salmerón, L., Altamura, L., Delgado, P., Karagiorgi, A., & Vargas, C. (2024). Reading comprehension on handheld devices versus on paper: A narrative review and meta-analysis of the medium effect and its moderators. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *116*(2), 153–172. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000830>

Schuurman, D. C. (2023). Virtue and Artificial Intelligence. *Perspectives on Science and Christian Faith*, *75*(3), 155–161. <https://doi.org/10.56315/PSCF12-23Schuurman>

Selwyn, N. (2021). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates*. Bloomsbury Publishing.

[https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=dMZKEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=Education+and+Technology:+Key+Issues+and+Debates+\(3rd+ed.\).+Bloomsbury+Academic&ots=wnUQRM0Kxy&sig=H_aCNXF1M9Cgog6MrQUBwZPEWc4&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Education+and+Technology%3A](https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=dMZKEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=Education+and+Technology:+Key+Issues+and+Debates+(3rd+ed.).+Bloomsbury+Academic&ots=wnUQRM0Kxy&sig=H_aCNXF1M9Cgog6MrQUBwZPEWc4&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Education+and+Technology%3A)

Smith, C., & Adamczyk, A. (2020). *Handing down the faith: How parents pass their religion on to the next generation*. Oxford University Press.

<https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=4rscEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Handing+down+the+faith:+How+parents+pass+their+religion+on+to+the+next+generation&ots=lAuZOk->

[Nr9&sig=yJlgYwH82Gs4yTXIgOgy43M2Q4E&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Handing down the faith%3A How parents pass their religion on to the next generation&f=false](https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=4rscEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Handing+down+the+faith:+How+parents+pass+their+religion+on+to+the+next+generation&ots=lAuZOk-Nr9&sig=yJlgYwH82Gs4yTXIgOgy43M2Q4E&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Handing+down+the+faith%3A+How+parents+pass+their+religion+on+to+the+next+generation&f=false)

Smith, D. I. (2018). *On Christian Teaching: Practicing Faith in the Classroom*. Eerdmans.

Smith, J. K. (2014). *How (not) to be secular: Reading Charles Taylor*. Eerdmans.

[https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=WRG3EQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA37&dq=Smith,+J.+K.+A.+2022+How+\(Not\)+to+Be+Secular:+Reading+Charles+Taylor&ots=f2LaCq1Qb3&sig=Lty6YB3_t7t1eZN5rBn-PyC63zU&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false](https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=WRG3EQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA37&dq=Smith,+J.+K.+A.+2022+How+(Not)+to+Be+Secular:+Reading+Charles+Taylor&ots=f2LaCq1Qb3&sig=Lty6YB3_t7t1eZN5rBn-PyC63zU&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false)

Smith, J. K. (2016). *You are what you love: The spiritual power of habit*. Brazos Press.

https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=dw8_CgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT9&dq=You+Are+What+You+Love:+The+Spiritual+Power+of+Habit.+Brazos+Press&ots=RfQfOIFiz6&sig=murlWKC722TR7tf1GxyCYdePt30&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=You

- Are What You Love%3A The Spiritual Pow
- St John, D. (2002). Technological Culture And Contemplative Ecology In Thomas Merton's Conjectures Of A Guilty Bystander. *Worldviews: Global Religions, Culture, and Ecology*, 6(2), 159–182. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156853502760260284>
- Taylor, C. (2004). *Modern Social Imaginaries*. Duke University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822385806>
- Turkle, S. (2015). *Reclaiming conversation: The power of talk in a digital age*. Penguin. [https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=POpJBgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR13&dq=Turkle,+S.+\(2015\).+Reclaiming+Conversation.+Penguin+Press&ots=TqE3mxEhPP&sig=BtHY8gyjEhEsgHDfAkeJ0Tc4pEA&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Turkle%2C%20S.+\(2015\).+Reclaiming+Conversation.+Pen](https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=POpJBgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR13&dq=Turkle,+S.+(2015).+Reclaiming+Conversation.+Penguin+Press&ots=TqE3mxEhPP&sig=BtHY8gyjEhEsgHDfAkeJ0Tc4pEA&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Turkle%2C%20S.+(2015).+Reclaiming+Conversation.+Pen)
- Twenge, J. M. (2023). *Generations: the real differences between Gen Z, Millennials, Gen X, Boomers, and Silents and what they mean for America's future* (Simon & Schuster (eds.)). <https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=zsV0EAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=Generations:+The+Real+Differences+Between+Gen+Z,+Millennials,+Gen+X,+Boomers,+and+Silents—and+What+They+Mean+for+America%27s+Future&ots=7pQZ77oxwz&sig=KFdOqHqma8vefBfD-7InTXDgXak&>
- Uncapher, M. R., & Wagner, A. D. (2018). Minds and brains of media multitaskers: Current findings and future directions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(40), 9889–9896. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611612115>
- Ur, P. (2019). Theory and practice in language teacher education. *Language Teaching*, 52(4), 450–459. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444819000090>
- Vallor, S. (2016). *Technology and the virtues: A philosophical guide to a future worth wanting*. Oxford University Press. https://books.google.co.id/books?hl=id&lr=&id=RaCkDAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Technology+and+the+virtues:+A+philosophical+guide+to+a+future+worth+wanting&ots=DE_oRUqdei&sig=rqBFAjuHnsPxrLPq6HzXlrkLktw&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Technology+and+the+virtues%3A+A+philosophical+guide+to+a+future+worth

wanting&f=false

Ware, K. (1995). The way of the ascetics: Negative or affirmative? In *In V. L. Wimbush & R. Valantasis* (pp. 3–15). Oxford University Press.

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1DD1D04_pvA6fYhA4vneHSxHGqdhvIw5P/view

Zimmerman, A. (2024). Taming Tech in Community How the Bruderhof community tries to be intentional about personal technology. *Plough Quarterly*.

<https://www.plough.com/en/topics/life/technology/taming-tech-in-community>